1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
9	AT SEATTLE				
10	AURELIO DURAN GONZALEZ,	CASE NO. C06-1411-MJP			
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR			
12	v.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AMENDMENT OF THE CLASS			
13	US DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,	DEFINITION, AND APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS			
14	Defendants.	NOTICE TO CLASS			
15					
16	This metter somes before the Court on t	he joint motion of Plaintiffs Auralia Duran			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	(Ex. A.)				
22	2. Amend the Definition of the certified class to the Definition agreed in the				
23	Settlement. (Ex. A, Part I, Section	D.)			
24	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. AMENDMENT OF THE CLASS				

DEFINITION, AND APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO

CLASS-1

1	3.	Approve the Notice of Proposed Settlement Agreement and Hearing in Class		
2		Action ("Notice of Proposed Settlement"). (Ex. B.)		
3	4.	Find that the provisions in the Settlement for the Notice of Proposed Settlement		
4		Agreement and Hearing in Class Action satisfy the notice requirements of		
5		Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(1)(B).		
6	5.	Set a fairness hearing for final determination on whether the Settlement is fair,		
7		reasonable, and adequate.		
8	Having considered the parties' joint motion and all documents submitted in support thereof, the			
9	Court GRANTS the parties' motion.			
10	IT IS ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement and Release (ECF No. xx-1) is preliminarily			
11	APPROVED;			
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Class Definition is amended as follows:			
13	"Any person who:			
14	1.	Is the beneficiary or derivative beneficiary of an immigrant visa petition or labor		
15		certification filed on or before April 30, 2001, provided that, if the immigrant		
16		visa petition or labor certification was filed after January 14, 1998:		
17		a. the beneficiary was physically present in the United States on		
18		December 21, 2000, or		
19		b.if a derivative beneficiary, the derivative beneficiary or the primary		
20		beneficiary was physically present in the United States on December 21,		
21		2000.		
22	2.	Is inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) of the		
23		Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"), because he or she entered or		
24	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR			

1		attempted to reenter the United States without being admitted after April 1,	
2	1997, and without permission after having previously been removed;		
3	3.	Properly filed a Form I-485 (Application to Adjust Status) and Form I-485	
4		Supplement A (Adjustment of Status Under Section 245(i)) while residing	
5		within the jurisdiction of the Ninth Circuit on or after August 13, 2004, and on	
6		or before November 30, 2007;	
7	4.	Filed a Form I-212 (Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission Into	
8		the United States After Deportation or Removal) on or after August 13, 2004,	
9		and on or before November 30, 2007;	
10	5.	Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212 were denied by U.S.	
11		Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS") and/or the Executive Office	
12		for Immigration Review ("EOIR") on or after August 13, 2004, or have not yet	
13		been adjudicated;	
14	6.	Is not currently subject to pending removal proceedings under INA § 240, or	
15		before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on a petition for	
16		review of a removal order resulting from proceedings under INA § 240; and	
17	7.	Did not enter or attempt to reenter the United States without being admitted	
18		after November 30, 2007.	
19	Class members are further divided into three subclasses, as follows:		
20	1. 3	Subclass A: Class Members (i) who have remained physically present in the	
21	United States since the filing of the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and		
22	Form I-212, and (ii) against whom removal proceedings under INA § 240 were		
23	not initiated with the filing of a Notice to Appear subsequent to the filing of the		
24	ORDER GRAN	ΓING MOTION FOR	

23

Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212 ("Subclass A Members");

- 2. Subclass B: Class Members: (i) who have remained physically present in the United States since the filing of the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212; (ii) against whom removal proceedings under INA § 240 were initiated by the filing of a Notice to Appear, subsequent to the filing of the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212; (iii) who have a final, unexecuted order of removal; (iv) who have no pending direct appeals of that order, including a petition for review before the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; (v) whose applications to adjust status were denied based upon final administrative determinations of inadmissibility by the Executive Office for Immigration Review under INA § 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) and whose final orders of removal were not entered in absentia; and (vi) for whom the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals did not apply the *Montgomery Ward* test as set forth in the *Garfias*-Rodriguez decision, to determine whether Matter of Torres-Garcia, 23 I. & N. Dec. 866 (BIA 2006), was properly retroactively applied to them ("Subclass B Member"); and
- 3. Subclass C: Class Members (i) who have departed the United States after filing the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212, (ii) who remain physically outside the United States; and (iii) who have properly filed an immigrant visa application with the United States Department of State, or who will file an immigrant visa application within one year of the effective date of this agreement ("Subclass C Members")."

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Notice of Proposed Settlement Agreement and Hearing			
2	in Class Action filed as ECF No. xx-2 is APPROVED.			
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the provisions for notice of the proposed settlement and			
4	hearing to the class are APPROVED.			
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the fairness hearing is set for July 11, 2014 at 9 a.m.			
6				
7	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.			
8	Dated this <u>21st</u> day of March, 2014.			
9	The alle			
10	Marsha J. Pechman			
11	Chief United States District Judge			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR			

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AMENDMENT OF THE CLASS DEFINITION, AND APPROVAL OF NOTICE TO CLASS- 5